Amendments to the Drawings:

Figure 13 is added to illustrate the method steps recited in claims 1-5. The specification has also been amended accordingly to add new paragraphs [0032.1] and [0042.1] to [0042.9]. No new matter has been introduced through any of these amendments.

5 Attachment: One new drawing sheet

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

1. Objection to the oath or declaration:

The oath or declaration is defective because there is no oath or declaration in the IFW as presented.

5

Response:

After review of the image file wrapper, the applicant has discovered that the declaration was included along with the Transmittal Form instead of being listed as a separate item. To ensure that the declaration is received, the applicant is also submitting a duplicate copy of the declaration as an attachment to this reply.

2. Objection to the specification:

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

15

10

Response:

The title of the invention has been amended to more clearly indicate the invention to which the claims are directed. Acceptance of the new title is respectfully requested.

20

3. Rejection of claims 1, 2, 6, and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e):

Claims 1, 2, 6, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Osada (US 6,700,847) for reasons of record.

25 Response:

Claim 6 has been amended to overcome this rejection. The limitations previously found in claim 7 have been added to claim 6 and claim 7 has been subsequently cancelled.

Claim 7 had previously been indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Regarding claim 1, the applicant would like to point out how claim 1 is patentably distinct from the Osada reference. Claim 1 contains the three following limitations; the second and third of which are not taught by Osada.

"generating a wobble clock according to the average number and the reference clock;

generating the ATIP signal according to the average number and the counting result; and

generating the ATIP clock according to the ATIP signal and the wobble clock."

Regarding the first limitation, "generating a wobble clock according to the average number and the reference clock", Osada teaches that the divider 54 generates a wobbling pulse WBLPOL_0 that is analogous to a wobble clock. This wobble pulse is generated based on the average value n and the reference clock CLOCK 1.

Regarding the second limitation, "generating the ATIP signal according to the average number and the counting result", the Examiner has stated that with the output signal WBLPOL_0, Osada teaches an ATIP signal generated in this manner. However, as described above, the WBLPOL_0 signal is analogous to the wobble clock. Furthermore, Osada teaches generating the output signal WBLPOL_0 based on the average value n and the reference clock CLOCK 1. Osada does not teach generating an ATIP signal according to the average number and the counting result.

25

10

15

20

Regarding the third limitation, "generating the ATIP clock according to the ATIP signal and the wobble clock", since Osada does not teach generating the ATIP signal, he also does not teach generating the ATIP clock based on this signal.

For these reasons, the applicants respectfully submit that Osada does not teach all of the limitations of claim 1, and claim 1 is patentably distinct from the cited prior art.

Claims 2-5 and 8-12 are dependent on claims 1 and 6, respectively, and should be allowed if claims 1 and 6 are allowed. Reconsideration of claims 1, 2, 6, and 10 is therefore respectfully requested.

4. Introduction to new claim 13:

New claim 13 is written based on original claim 3, and states that the ATIP signal is generated from comparing the counting result with the average number. This limitation is fully supported by the specification, and no new matter has been added.

On the other hand, Osada does not teach comparing the counting result with the average number to generate an ATIP signal. Therefore, claim 13 is patentably distinct from the cited prior art. Moreover, claim 13 is dependent on claim 1, and should be allowed if claim 1 is allowed. Acceptance of claim 13 is respectfully requested.

In light of the above statements in favor of patentability, the applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

25

5

10

15

Respectfully submitted,

Wenton tan

Date: June 30, 2005

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526

5 P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.

Voice Mail: 302-729-1562 Facsimile: 806-498-6673

e-mail: winstonhsu@naipo.com

Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. The time in D.C. is 12 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 9 PM in Taiwan.

Attachments